



HASKELL COUNTY

Financial Report

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020

Cindy Byrd, CPA

State Auditor & Inspector

HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

This publication, issued by the Oklahoma State Auditor and Inspector's Office as authorized by 19 O.S. § 171, has not been printed, but is available on the agency's website (www.sai.ok.gov) and in the Oklahoma Department of Libraries Publications Clearinghouse Digital Prairie Collection (http://digitalprairie.ok.gov/cdm/search/collection/audits/) pursuant to 65 O.S. § 3-114.



Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

June 30, 2023

TO THE CITIZENS OF HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Transmitted herewith is the audit of Haskell County, Oklahoma for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020. The audit was conducted in accordance with 19 O.S. § 171.

A report of this type can be critical in nature. Failure to report commendable features in the accounting and operating procedures of the entity should not be interpreted to mean that they do not exist.

The goal of the State Auditor and Inspector is to promote accountability and fiscal integrity in state and local government. Maintaining our independence as we provide this service to the taxpayers of Oklahoma is of utmost importance.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during our engagement.

This report is a public document pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Records Act (51 O.S. § 24A.1 et seq.) and shall be open to any person for inspection and copying.

Sincerely,

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

Board of County Commissioners

District 1 – Clark McClary

District 2 – Sandra Zachry

District 3 – Billy Don Cloud

County Assessor

Shawna Hudspeth

County Clerk

Karen McClary

County Sheriff

Tim Turner

County Treasurer

Gayla Orr

Court Clerk

Robin Rea

District Attorney

Chuck Sullivan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>FINANCIAL SECTION</u>
Report of State Auditor and Inspector
Financial Statement:
Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances—Regulatory Basis
Notes to the Financial Statement
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
Comparative Schedule of Expenditures—Budget and Actual—Budgetary Basis—General Fund 12
Comparative Schedule of Expenditures—Budget and Actual—Budgetary Basis—Health Fund 13
Note to Supplementary Information14
INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE SECTION
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
Schedule of Findings and Responses





Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

Independent Auditor's Report

TO THE OFFICERS OF HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the total—all county funds on the accompanying regulatory basis Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances of Haskell County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statement as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1, and for determining that the regulatory basis of accounting is an acceptable basis for the preparation of the financial statement in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1, the financial statement is prepared by Haskell County using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Oklahoma state law, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the "Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of Haskell County as of June 30, 2020, or changes in financial position for the year then ended.

Unmodified Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the total receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances for all county funds of Haskell County, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, in accordance with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

Other Matters

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the total of all county funds on the financial statement. The supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statement.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statement.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 27, 2023, on our consideration of Haskell County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part

of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Haskell County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

April 27, 2023



HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN CASH BALANCES—REGULATORY BASIS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

	Beginning Cash Balances July 1, 2019		Receipts Apportioned		ransfers In	Transfers Out	Disbursements		Ending Cash Balances June 30, 2020	
County Funds:										
County General	\$	856,901	\$ 2,641,127	\$	9,771	\$ 59,437	\$	2,636,209	\$	812,153
Gross Revenue - Operations and Expenses		-	3		19,645	· -		19,645		3
Gross Revenue - Bond		2,855	527,921		51,137	19,645		562,159		109
County Highway Unrestricted		517,196	1,859,783		_	_		1,928,413		448,566
Health		229,486	143,042		-	_		134,961		237,567
Resale Property		233,510	70,316		1,100	9,000		52,884		243,042
County Clerk Lien Fee		22,838	7,937		-	-		7,243		23,532
Treasurer Mortgage Certification		26,012	1,748		-	-		2,208		25,552
Sheriff Service Fee		112,843	160,682		7,200	-		115,388		165,337
Community Service Program		309	-		-	-		-		309
County Clerk Records Management & Preservation		39,675	22,783		-	-		11,116		51,342
County Bridge & Road Improvement		524,595	2,290,838		-	30,000		2,036,230		749,203
County Sinking Fund		2,722	32,411		-	_		29,234		5,899
Lake Patrol		27,569	30,972		-	_		35,034		23,507
Assessor Revolving Fee		12,035	2,962		-	771		8,819		5,407
Jail		26,137	15,632		-	-		26,994		14,775
Emergency Management		372	-		-	-		-		372
Sheriff Drug Buy		11,085	117		-	-		1,000		10,202
County Donations		19,680	183		-	-		3,663		16,200
Rural Fire-ST		435,807	281,245		-	-		140,436		576,616
Sheriff Commissary		19,864	38,030		-	-		36,795		21,099
Total - All County Funds	\$	3,121,491	\$ 8,127,732	\$	88,853	\$ 118,853	\$	7,788,431	\$	3,430,792

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Reporting Entity

Haskell County is a subdivision of the State of Oklahoma created by the Oklahoma Constitution and regulated by Oklahoma Statutes.

The accompanying financial statement presents the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances of the total of all funds under the control of the primary government. The general fund is the county's general operating fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund, where its use is restricted for a specified purpose. Other funds established by statute and under the control of the primary government are also presented.

The County Treasurer collects and remits material amounts of intergovernmental revenues and ad valorem tax revenue for other budgetary entities, including emergency medical districts, libraries, school districts, and cities and towns. The cash receipts and disbursements attributable to those other entities do not appear in funds on the County's financial statement; those funds play no part in the County's operations. Any trust or agency funds maintained by the County are not included in this presentation.

B. Fund Accounting

The County uses funds to report on receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, the County converted to a new chart of accounts; therefore, several fund names and descriptions change. However, these changes do not reflect a change in the sources and uses of revenues over the prior fiscal year.

Following are descriptions of the county funds included within the financial statement:

County General – accounts for the general operations of the government.

<u>Gross Revenue – Operations and Expenses</u> – accounts for sales tax revenue and the disbursement of funds as restricted by the sales tax ballot/agreement for the criminal justice facility.

 $\underline{\text{Gross Revenue} - \text{Bond}}$ – accounts for sales tax revenue and the disbursement of funds as restricted by the sales tax ballot/agreement for the criminal justice facility.

<u>County Highway Unrestricted</u> – accounts for state, local and miscellaneous receipts and disbursements for the purpose of constructing and maintaining county roads and bridges.

HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

<u>Health</u> – accounts for monies collected on behalf of the county health department from ad valorem taxes and state and local revenues. Disbursements are for the operation of the county health department.

<u>Resale Property</u> – accounts for the collection of interest and penalties on delinquent taxes and the disposition of the same as restricted by state statute.

<u>County Clerk Lien Fee</u> – accounts for lien collections and disbursements as restricted by state statute.

<u>Treasurer Mortgage Certification</u> – accounts for the collection of fees by the County Treasurer for mortgage tax certificates and the disbursement of the funds as restricted by state statute.

<u>Sheriff Service Fee</u> – accounts for the collection of sheriff process service fees and court fees. Proceeds are to be used for the lawful operation of the sheriff's office and courthouse security as restricted by state statute.

<u>Community Service Program</u> – accounts for the collection of funding through the State Department of Corrections for administrative expenses and supervision of offenders.

<u>County Clerk Records Management & Preservation</u> – accounts for fees collected for instruments filed in the County Clerk's office as restricted by state statute for preservation of records.

<u>County Bridge & Road Improvement</u> – accounts for monies collected through the Oklahoma Tax Commission for the purpose of bridge repair and road resurface.

<u>County Sinking Fund</u> – accounts for debt service receipts derived generally from a special ad valorem tax levy and from interest earned on investments of cash not immediately required for debt service payments.

<u>Lake Patrol</u> – accounts for monies received from the Corps of Engineers for patrolling services.

<u>Assessor Revolving Fee</u> – accounts for the collection of fees for copies and disbursed as restricted by state statute.

Jail – accounts for monies received for housing prisoners to be used for jail operating expenses.

<u>Emergency Management</u> – accounts for the receipt and disbursement of funds donated for civil defense purposes.

<u>Sheriff Drug Buy</u> – accounts for local contributions, grants, or drug forfeitures and is used for payments for confidential informants or purchases of illegal drugs in sting operations.

<u>County Donations</u> – accounts for funds donated to Haskell County to be disbursed specifically for which the donation was made.

<u>Rural Fire-ST</u> – accounts for the collection of sales tax revenue and the disbursement of funds for the operation of the fire departments.

<u>Sheriff Commissary</u> – accounts for the collection of the sale of items to inmates and disbursements to improve or provide jail services and any surplus funds may be expended for administering expenses for training equipment, travel, or for capital expenditures.

C. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement is prepared on a basis of accounting wherein amounts are recognized when received or disbursed. This basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require revenues to be recognized when they become available and measurable or when they are earned, and expenditures or expenses to be recognized when the related liabilities are incurred. This regulatory basis financial presentation is not a comprehensive measure of economic condition or changes therein.

Title 19 O.S. § 171 allows Oklahoma counties to present their financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) or on a regulatory basis. The County has elected to present their financial statement on a regulatory basis in conformity with Title 19 O.S. § 171, which specifies the format and presentation of such regulatory basis financial statements: county governments (primary only) are required to present their financial statements on a fund basis format with, at a minimum, the general fund and all other county funds, which represent ten percent or greater of total county revenue with all other funds included in the audit presented in the aggregate in a combining statement. However, the County has elected to present all funds included in the audit in the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances—Regulatory Basis.

D. Budget

Under current Oklahoma Statutes, a general fund and a county health department fund are the only funds required to adopt a formal budget. On or before the first Monday in July of each year, each officer or department head submits an estimate of needs to the governing body. The budget is approved for the respective fund by office, or department and object. The County Board of Commissioners may approve changes of appropriations within the fund by office or department and object. To increase or decrease the budget by fund requires approval by the County Excise Board.

E. Cash and Investments

For the purposes of financial reporting, "Ending Cash Balances, June 30" includes cash and cash equivalents and investments as allowed by statutes. The County pools the cash of its various funds

in maintaining its bank accounts. However, cash applicable to a particular fund is readily identifiable on the County's books. The balance in the pooled cash accounts is available to meet current operating requirements.

State statutes require financial institutions with which the County maintains funds to deposit collateral securities to secure the County's deposits. The amount of collateral securities to be pledged is established by the County Treasurer; this amount must be at least the amount of the deposit to be secured, less the amount insured (by, for example, the FDIC).

The County Treasurer has been authorized by the County's governing board to make investments. Allowable investments are outlined in statutes 62 O.S. § 348.1 and § 348.3.

All investments must be backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government, the Oklahoma State Government, fully collateralized, or fully insured. All investments as classified by state statute are nonnegotiable certificates of deposit. Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit are not subject to interest rate risk or credit risk.

2. Ad Valorem Tax

The County's property tax is levied each October 1 on the assessed value listed as of January 1 of the same year for all real and personal property located in the County, except certain exempt property. Assessed values are established by the County Assessor within the prescribed guidelines established by the Oklahoma Tax Commission and the State Equalization Board. Title 68 O.S. § 2820.A. states, ". . . Each assessor shall thereafter maintain an active and systematic program of visual inspection on a continuous basis and shall establish an inspection schedule which will result in the individual visual inspection of all taxable property within the county at least once each four (4) years."

Taxes are due on November 1 following the levy date, although they may be paid in two equal installments. If the first half is paid prior to January 1, the second half is not delinquent until April 1. The County Treasurer, according to the law, shall give notice of delinquent taxes and special assessments by publication once a week for two consecutive weeks at any time after April 1, but prior to the end of September following the year the taxes were first due and payable. Unpaid real property taxes become a lien upon said property after the treasurer has perfected the lien by public notice.

Unpaid delinquent personal property taxes are usually published in May. If the taxes are not paid within 30 days from publication, they shall be placed on the personal tax lien docket.

3. Other Information

A. Pension Plan

<u>Plan Description</u>. The County contributes to the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement Plan (the Plan), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Oklahoma Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). Benefit provisions are established and amended by the Oklahoma Legislature. The Plan provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to Plan members and beneficiaries. Title 74, Sections 901 through 943, as amended, establishes the provisions of the Plan. OPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing OPERS, P.O. Box 53007, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 or by calling 1-800-733-9008.

<u>Funding Policy</u>. The contribution rates for each member category are established by the Oklahoma Legislature and are based on an actuarial calculation which is performed to determine the adequacy of contribution rates.

B. Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB)

In addition to the pension benefits described in the Pension Plan note, OPERS provides post-retirement health care benefits of up to \$105 each for retirees who are members of an eligible group plan. These benefits are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis as part of the overall retirement benefit. OPEB expenditure and participant information is available for the state as a whole; however, information specific to the County is not available nor can it be reasonably estimated.

C. Contingent Liabilities

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, primarily the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable fund. The amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time; however, the County expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

As of the end of the fiscal year, there were no claims or judgments that would have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County; however, the outcome of any lawsuit would not be determinable.

D. Long Term Obligations

1. Judgments

The County has a judgment which is being retired by a tax levy. This judgment was paid off in fiscal year 2020. The County paid \$28,011 in principal and \$1,223 in interest.

Case Number CIV-05-406-RAW Original Judgment \$199,000

E. Sales Tax

Sales Tax of February 7, 1984

In a special election held February 7, 1984, the voters of Haskell County approved a permanent one percent (1%) sales tax. One hundred percent (100%) of the sales tax proceeds are to be used for general government. These funds are accounted for in the County General fund.

Sales Tax of November 8, 2005

In a special election held November 8, 2005, the voters of Haskell County approved a one-half of one cent sales tax. This tax will terminate after twenty-five (25) years from the effective date of the tax or at the date of retirement of any debt incurred related thereto, whichever is earlier. The sales tax was established for the acquisition, remodeling, construction, financing, furnishing, and equipping of a new county jail and criminal justice facility to be located in Haskell County, parking lots, streets and other capital facilities associated therewith, including design, construction, capital improvements, expenses, operations, equipment, fixtures and furnishings; with one-fourth (1/4) of the one-half (1/2) cent to provide for the maintenance and operations of said facilities; authorizing the pledging of said sales tax for debt service; fixing an effective date; making provisions severable; and declaring an emergency. These funds are accounted for in the Gross Revenue - Bond fund.

Sales Tax of February 8, 2011

In a special election held February 8, 2011, the voters of Haskell County approved a permanent one quarter percent (1/4%) sales tax. The sales tax was established for the purpose of providing funding for the fire departments in the following communities: Brooken, Hoyt, Keota, Kinta, LeQuire, Lona Valley, McCurtain, Southside, Stigler, Tamaha, Whitefield or others herein established, for such fire protection as may be deemed necessary by the Board of County Commissioners. These funds are accounted for in the Rural Fire-ST fund.

Sales Tax of February 11, 2014

In a special election held February 11, 2014, the voters of Haskell County approved a permanent one quarter percent (1/4%) sales tax. One hundred percent (100%) of the sales tax proceeds are to provide revenues to Haskell County, to be appropriated by the Board of County Commissioners for the purpose of providing general government operation funding for the County of Haskell as may be deemed necessary by the Board of County Commissioners. These funds are accounted for in the County General fund.

Interfund Transfers

During the fiscal year, the County made the following transfers between cash funds:

- \$51,137 from the County General fund to the Gross Revenue Bond fund to be used for bond payment shortages.
- \$9,000 from the Resale Property fund to the County General fund to meet payroll obligations.
- \$1,100 from the County General fund to the Resale Property fund for reimbursement of transfer for payroll obligations.
- \$7,200 from the County General fund to the Sheriff Service Fee fund to meet obligations for lease payments on vehicles.
- \$771 from the Assessor Revolving Fee fund to the County General fund. The County
 Commissioners approved the transfer of residual balances in the Assessor's visual
 inspection accounts as part of the County's conversion to a new framework for the
 systematic reporting and accounting of county government transactions (Chart of
 Accounts).
- \$19,645 from the Gross Revenue Bond fund to the Gross Revenue Operations and Expenses fund for the fiscal year 2017-2018 jail audit and maintenance on the jail.
- \$30,000 from the County Road & Bridge Improvement fund to the T-Highway Road & Bridge ETR fund, a trust and agency fund, for partial repayment of loans.

F. Special Items

Investigative Audit

The Oklahoma State Auditor and Inspector's Forensic Audit Division performed a comprehensive analysis on cash bond transactions to determine if any cash bond funds were misappropriated. The Sheriff's Office collected \$112,705 in cash bonds between July 1, 2016, and August 31, 2019. During that same period, only \$87,436 in cash bonds was deposited resulting in a misappropriation of funds totaling \$25,269. These findings will not have a material effect on the basic financial statement as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020.



HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES—BUDGET AND ACTUAL— BUDGETARY BASIS—GENERAL FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

		General Fund									
	Bu	dget		Actual	Variance						
County Sheriff	\$ 4	127,429	\$	423,342	\$	4,087					
County Treasurer		128,905		128,895		10					
OSU Extension		27,321		13,767		13,554					
County Clerk		121,400		120,674		726					
Court Clerk		94,300		89,731		4,569					
County Assessor		87,701		86,919		782					
Assessor's Visual Inspection		156,665		144,467		12,198					
General Government	1,	175,102		702,727		472,375					
Excise-Equalization Board		4,000		2,424		1,576					
County Election Board		90,547		90,236		311					
Sheriff Dispatch Jail		305,378		276,507		28,871					
Sheriff Dispatch ST		302,537		276,880		25,657					
Choctaw Nation Community Partners		21,600		9,810		11,790					
Emergency Management		35,073		32,644		2,429					
County Audit Budget Account		28,843		13,039		15,804					
Haskell County 1984 One Cent ST	1,2	213,030		197,144		1,015,886					
Total Expenditures, Budgetary Basis	\$ 4,2	219,831	\$	2,609,206	\$	1,610,625					

HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES—BUDGET AND ACTUAL— BUDGETARY BASIS—HEALTH FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

	 Health Fund								
	Budget		Actual	Variance					
Health and Welfare	\$ 355,313	\$	145,314	\$	209,999				
Total Expenditures, Budgetary Basis	\$ 355,313	\$	145,314	\$	209,999				

1. Budgetary Schedules

The Comparative Schedules of Expenditures—Budget and Actual—Budgetary Basis for the General Fund and the Health Fund presents comparisons of the legally adopted budget with actual data. The "actual" data, as presented in the comparison of budget and actual, will differ from the data as presented in the Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances because of adopting certain aspects of the budgetary basis of accounting and the adjusting of encumbrances and outstanding warrants to their related budget year.

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation, is employed as an extension of formal budgetary integration in these funds. At the end of the year unencumbered appropriations lapse.





Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

TO THE OFFICERS OF HASKELL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the total—all county funds of the accompanying Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Balances of Haskell County, Oklahoma, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise Haskell County's basic financial statement, prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Oklahoma state law, and have issued our report thereon dated April 27, 2023.

Our report included an adverse opinion on the financial statement because the statement is prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Oklahoma state law, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. However, our report also included our opinion that the financial statement does present fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash balances – regulatory basis of the County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, on the basis of accounting prescribed by Oklahoma state law, described in Note 1.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered Haskell County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Haskell County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Haskell County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be material weaknesses: 2020-001, 2020-003, and 2020-004.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be significant deficiencies: 2020-002.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Haskell County's financial statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statement. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as items 2020-003.

We noted certain matters regarding statutory compliance that we reported to the management of Haskell County, which are included in Section 2 of the schedule of findings and responses contained in this report.

Haskell County's Response to Findings

Haskell County's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. Haskell County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

April 27, 2023

SECTION 1—Findings related to the Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Finding 2020-001 – Lack of County-Wide Internal Controls (Repeat Finding – 2007-020, 2008-015, 2009-019, 2010-001, 2011-001, 2012-001, 2016-001, 2017-001, 2018-001, 2019-001)

Condition: Through the process of gaining an understanding of the County's internal control structure, it was noted that county-wide controls regarding Control Environment, Risk Assessment, Information and Communication, and Monitoring have not been designed.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to ensure that an adequate system of county-wide controls exist.

Effect of Condition: Without an adequate system of county-wide controls, there is greater risk of a breakdown in control activities which could result in unrecorded transactions, undetected errors, or misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: The Oklahoma State Auditor & Inspector's Office (OSAI) recommends that the County design and implement a system of county-wide procedures to address the importance of internal controls, to identify and address risks related to financial reporting and to ensure that information is communicated effectively. OSAI also recommends that the County design and implement monitoring procedures to assess the quality of performance over time. These procedures should be written policies and procedures and could be included in the County's policies and procedures handbook.

Management Response:

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: Haskell County will design and implement a system of county-wide procedures to address the importance of internal controls, address risks and ensure that the information is communicated effectively.

County Treasurer: My plans are to get with the other county officials and implement a county wide system of procedures, stress the importance of internal controls, and explain the financial statement. Also, to have procedures in place for monitoring performance, and request them to be added to our handbook.

County Clerk: The County Clerk will discuss internal controls with the other elected officials in quarterly meetings and will address these conditions to improve controls regarding control environment, risk assessment, information and communication, and monitoring.

Criteria: The United States Government Accountability Office's *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government* (2014 version) aided in guiding our assessments and conclusion. Although this publication (GAO Standards) addresses controls in the federal government, this criterion can be treated as best practices and may be applied as a framework for an internal control system for state, local, and quasi-governmental entities.

The GAO Standards – Section 1 – Fundamental Concepts of Internal Control – OV1.01 states in part:

Definition of Internal Control

Internal control is a process effected by an entity's oversight body, management, and other personnel that provides reasonable assurance that the objectives of an entity will be achieved.

Additionally, GAO Standards – Section 2 – Establishing an Effective Internal Control System – OV2.04 states in part:

Components, Principles, and Attributes

Control Environment - The foundation for an internal control system. It provides the discipline and structure to help an entity achieve its objectives.

Risk Assessment - Assesses the risks facing the entity as it seeks to achieve its objectives. This assessment provides the basis for developing appropriate risk responses.

Information and Communication - The quality information management and personnel communicate and use to support the internal control system.

Monitoring - Activities management establishes and operates to assess the quality of performance over time and promptly resolve the findings of audits and other reviews.

Finding 2020-002 — Lack of Internal Controls Over Information Technology – County Clerk and Treasurer's Office (Repeat Finding - 2010-003, 2011-003, 2012-003, 2016-003, 2017-003, 2018-002, 2019-002)

Condition: Upon review of the computer systems within the office of the County Clerk and County Treasurer, it was noted that there does not appear to be adequate internal controls in place to safeguard data from unauthorized modification, loss, or disclosure. The specifics of the condition have been sanitized to protect the County pursuant to the provision of 51 O.S. § 24A.28.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to prevent unauthorized access to data.

Effect of Condition: This condition could result in compromised security for computers, computer programs, and data.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends the County comply with best practices presented in the criteria. The specifics of the recommendation have been sanitized to protect the County pursuant to the provision of 51 O.S. § 24A.28.

Management Response:

County Clerk: We will work towards protecting and safeguarding data.

County Treasurer: The Treasurer's office will implement the necessary controls.

Criteria: According to the standards of the Information Systems Audit and Control Association (CobiT, Deliver and Support Ds5), the need to maintain the integrity of information and protect IT assets requires a security management process. This process includes establishing and maintaining IT security roles and responsibilities, policies, standards, and procedures. Security management also includes performing security monitoring and periodic testing and implementing corrective actions for identified security weaknesses or incidents. Effective security management protects all IT assets to minimize the business impact of security vulnerabilities and incidents.

Finding 2020-003 - Lack of Internal Controls and Noncompliance Over the Disbursement Process (Repeat Finding – 2007-005, 2008-005, 2009-005, 2010-008, 2011-008, 2012-008, 2016-006, 2017-006, 2018-003, 2019-003)

Condition: Upon inquiry and observation of the County's disbursement process, the following was noted:

- The County Clerk does not have an adequate segregation of duties regarding the cash voucher process to ensure that duties assigned to individuals are done so in a manner that would not allow one individual to control both the recording function and the procedures relative to processing a transaction. One employee reviews cash voucher claims for accuracy, approves the claims, and prints, signs/approves, and distributes cash vouchers.
- Requisitioning officers do not sign purchase orders until after the purchase has been encumbered, goods and/or services have been received, and all documentation has been turned in to and reviewed by the County Clerk's office.
- All County Clerk employees have access to the blank warrant stock.
- The County Clerk's office has access to District 1 County Commissioner's signature stamp. The signature stamp is not under the physical control of the County Commissioner.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to ensure adequate segregation of duties in the County Clerk's office with regard to the cash voucher process and control over the County Commissioner's signature stamp. Additionally, policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to ensure the County is in compliance with state statute regarding the disbursement process.

Effect of Condition: These conditions resulted in noncompliance with state statute and could result in unrecorded transactions, undetected errors, inaccurate records, incomplete information, and misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management be aware of these conditions and determine if duties can be properly segregated. If segregation of duties is not possible due to limited personnel, OSAI

recommends implementing compensating controls to mitigate the risk involved with a concentration of duties. Compensating controls would include separating key processes and/or critical functions of the office and having management review and approve accounting functions.

- Purchase orders should be signed by requisitioning officers prior to being encumbered by the County Clerk's office.
- The blank warrant stock should be maintained in a secure location with limited access.

Further, OSAI recommends that signature stamps be used only by the official to whom it belongs. Officials who utilize signature stamps should ensure that signature stamps are adequately safeguarded from unauthorized use.

Management Response:

County Clerk:

- The 1st Deputy will review cash voucher claims. The 2nd Deputy will approve the claims and the 1st Deputy will print cash vouchers. The County Clerk will approve cash vouchers and the 1st Deputy will distribute them.
- We will discuss with requisitioning officers that they must sign purchase orders before goods and services are received.
- Blank warrants will be locked in a cabinet with access limited to the County Clerk and 1st Deputy.
- Signature stamps will only be used by the official to whom it belongs.

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: Haskell County will determine if duties can be properly segregated. If this is not possible, we will separate key processes and critical functions and have management review and approve functions. Haskell County will make sure that purchase orders are signed by requisitioning officer before being encumbered. Blank warrant stock will be moved to a secure location with limited access. All signature stamps will only be used by the official to whom it belongs and adequately safeguarded from unauthorized use.

Criteria: The GAO Standards – Principle 10 – Design Control Activities – 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of Duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.

Additionally, Principle 10 - Segregation of Duties states:

10.12 — Management considers segregation of duties in designing control activity responsibilities so that incompatible duties are segregated and, where such segregation is not practical, designs alternative control activities to address the risk.

10.13 – Segregation of duties helps prevent fraud, waste, and abuse in the internal control system. Management considers the need to separate control activities related to authority, custody, and accounting of operations to achieve adequate segregation of duties. In particular, segregation of duties can address the risk of management override. Management override circumvents existing control activities and increases fraud risk. Management addresses this risk through segregation of duties, but cannot absolutely prevent it because of the risk of collusion, where two or more employees act together to commit fraud.

10.14 – If segregation of duties is not practical within an operational process because of limited personnel or other factors, management designs alternative control activities to address the risk of fraud, waste, or abuse in the operational process.

Effective internal controls require that management properly implement procedures to ensure that purchases comply with 19 O.S. § 1505.

Finding 2020-004 – Lack of Segregation of Duties Over the Payroll Process (Repeat Finding – 2007-003, 2008-003, 2009-003, 2010-012, 2011-012, 2012-012, 2016-007, 2017-007, 2018-004, 2019-004)

Condition: Upon inquiry and observation of the payroll process, it was noted that the County Clerk does not have an adequate segregation of duties to ensure that duties assigned to individuals are done so in a manner that would not allow one individual to control both the recording function and the procedures relative to processing a transaction.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented regarding segregation of duties and/or compensating controls of the payroll process.

Effect of Condition: This condition could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, and misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends management be aware of these conditions and determine if duties can be properly segregated. If segregation of duties is not possible due to limited personnel, OSAI recommends implementing compensating controls to mitigate the risk involved with a concentration of duties. Compensating controls would include separating key processes and/or critical functions of the office and having management review and approve accounting functions.

Management Response:

County Clerk: I will begin reviewing new employees added to the system and all payroll changes made in the system by my 1st Deputy. I will segregate the duties associated with payroll warrants. My 1st Deputy will print payroll warrants and my 2nd Deputy will distribute them. We will limit access to personnel files to be kept in a locked room.

Criteria: The GAO Standards – Principle 10 – Design Control Activities – 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of Duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.

Additionally, Principle 10 - Segregation of Duties states:

10.12 – Management considers segregation of duties in designing control activity responsibilities so that incompatible duties are segregated and, where such segregation is not practical, designs alternative control activities to address the risk.

10.13 – Segregation of duties helps prevent fraud, waste, and abuse in the internal control system. Management considers the need to separate control activities related to authority, custody, and accounting of operations to achieve adequate segregation of duties. In particular, segregation of duties can address the risk of management override. Management override circumvents existing control activities and increases fraud risk. Management addresses this risk through segregation of duties, but cannot absolutely prevent it because of the risk of collusion, where two or more employees act together to commit fraud.

10.14 – If segregation of duties is not practical within an operational process because of limited personnel or other factors, management designs alternative control activities to address the risk of fraud, waste, or abuse in the operational process.

SECTION 2—This section contains certain matters not required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we believe these matters are significant enough to bring to management's attention. We recommend that management consider these matters and take appropriate corrective action.

Finding 2020-005 – Noncompliance Over Revenue Dedicated to General Government Operation Funding – Sales Tax (Repeat Finding)

Condition: On February 11, 2014, the citizens of Haskell County voted on and approved levying a sales tax of one-quarter percent (0.25%) upon the gross proceeds of the receipts derived from all sales taxable under the Oklahoma sales tax code. The purpose of the sales tax proceeds is to provide revenues to the County to be appropriated by the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) for the purpose of providing general government operation funding for the County as deemed necessary by the BOCC.

In accordance with the Sales Tax Agreement dated June 1, 2014, by and between the Haskell County Public Facilities Authority (the Authority) and Haskell County, in addition to a one-half of one percent (1/2) sales

tax that was previously pledged and allocated to the Authority, the County also pledged a one-fourth of one percent (1/4) sales tax that became effective July 1, 2014, that was for the purpose of providing general government operation funding. The County agreed to pledge to the Authority all its rights, title, and interest in said sales tax revenues to repay any and all indebtedness incurred in regard to the Bonds or other subsequent indebtedness, included but not limited to administration cost, audit fees, consultant fees, expenses of the Authority and legal fees of the Authority.

Based on the information, OSAI finds no authority for the BOCC to enter into an agreement with the Haskell County Public Facilities Authority to pledge sales tax revenues for the payment of the Authority's indebtedness from the revenue that was specifically dedicated for the purpose of providing general government operation funding for the County as approved by the citizens of Haskell County. This agreement was still in effect during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020.

Cause of Condition: The County did not follow provisions of the Oklahoma Constitution regarding dedication of the levied sales tax for the purpose of providing general government operation funding.

Effect of Condition: This condition resulted in the County pledging sales tax collections for a purpose other than the specified purpose approved by the citizens of Haskell County. Further, this condition could have resulted in a loss of funding for the general government operations of the County.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends that the BOCC implement policies and procedures to ensure that dedicated funds are appropriated and expended for the purpose intended.

Management Response:

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners: Haskell County plans to implement policies and procedures to ensure that dedicated funds are expended for the purpose intended.

Criteria: Article 10 § 19 Oklahoma Constitution states:

"Every act enacted by the Legislature, and every ordinance and resolution passed by any county, city, town, or municipal board or local legislative body, levying a tax shall specify distinctly the purpose for which said tax is levied, and no tax levied and collected for one purpose shall ever be devoted to another purpose."

Finding 2020-006 - Lack of Segregation of Duties Over the Collection and Expenditure Processes - Court Clerk

Condition: Upon inquiry and observation of the Court Clerk's collection and expenditure process, it was noted that the Court Clerk does not have an adequate segregation of duties to ensure that duties assigned to individuals are done so in a manner that would not allow one individual to control both the recording function and the procedures relative to processing a transaction. Additionally, the Court Clerk has access to the Associate Judge's signature stamp.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented to adequately segregate the duties of the receipting and expenditure processes within the office of the Court Clerk.

Effect of Condition: A single person having responsibility for more than one area of recording, authorization, custody of assets, and execution of transactions could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors, or misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends that procedures be designed and implemented to separate key functions of the receipting and expenditure processes. If a segregation of duties is not possible due to limited personnel, OSAI recommends implementing compensating controls to mitigate the risk involved with a concentration of duties. Compensating controls would include separating key processes and/or critical functions of the office and having management review and approve accounting functions.

In addition, OSAI recommends that signature stamps be used only by the official to whom it belongs. Officials who utilize signature stamps should ensure that signature stamps are adequately safeguarded from unauthorized use.

Management Response:

Court Clerk:

- <u>Defined Job Duties</u>: Because of the limited number of employees (Clerk and 2 deputies), we do not have defined job duties. All employees must be able to receipt money. All employees open mail but keep separate mail logs. Whoever is available will prepare the deposits. Two people will sign off on the deposit, and in the future, if a different person is available, they will take the deposit to the Treasurer's office.
- <u>Cash drawer</u>: In the past we have always worked from one cash drawer. In the future, we will implement the policy of a separate cash drawer for each employee.
- <u>Deposits:</u> The deposit will be counted by two employees (if two are available) and signed off by each employee.
- Access to safe: Each employee must have access to the safe. The office has only one safe. The safe is also used to store passport transmittal sheets. Each employee is a certified passport agent, and we are required to store the transmittal sheets in locked storage.
- <u>Court Fund Expenditure Process:</u> The court fund claims are approved by the District Judge and Associate District Judge before the vouchers are prepared. The Keli Court System is accessed from the Court Clerk's assigned computer exclusively. In the future, I will prepare the voucher, and will make every effort to have the 1st deputy sign every court fund voucher. I then will distribute the vouchers.
- Access to Associate District Judge's signature stamp: This stamp is used with the permission of Judge Henderson to stamp copies of filings for convenience of the Judge and attorneys. This stamp has never been used for financial records (claims, reports, etc.).

Criteria: The GAO Standards – Principle 10 – Design Control Activities – 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.

Additionally, Principle 10 - Segregation of Duties states:

10.12 – Management considers segregation of duties in designing control activity responsibilities so that incompatible duties are segregated and, where such segregation is not practical, designs alternative control activities to address the risk.

10.13 – Segregation of duties helps prevent fraud, waste, and abuse in the internal control system. Management considers the need to separate control activities related to authority, custody, and accounting of operations to achieve adequate segregation of duties. In particular, segregation of duties can address the risk of management override. Management override circumvents existing control activities and increases fraud risk. Management addresses this risk through segregation of duties but cannot absolutely prevent it because of the risk of collusion, where two or more employees act together to commit fraud.

10.14 – If segregation of duties is not practical within an operational process because of limited personnel or other factors, management designs alternative control activities to address the risk of fraud, waste, or abuse in the operational process.

Finding 2020-007 - Lack of Internal Controls and Noncompliance Over the Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account and Sheriff Commissary Fund

Condition: Upon inquiry of County personnel, observation, and review of documents regarding the Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account and Sheriff Commissary Fund, the following weaknesses were noted:

- Duties are not properly segregated: One employee has the ability to collect money, issue receipts, prepare deposits, take deposits to the bank, issue checks, and prepare reconciliations.
- The Sheriff's office does not have current contracts with the commissary and inmate phone card vendors that have been approved by the BOCC.
- The Sheriff's office does not prepare or file an annual report for the Sheriff Commissary Fund with the Board of County Commissioners by January 15th of each year in accordance with state statute.
- Deposits to the Inmate Trust checking account are not made daily in accordance with state statute.

- The Sheriff's Administrative Assistant is in possession of, and occasionally used the Sheriff's signature stamp as a second signature on Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account checks. In addition, the signature stamp is not registered with the Secretary of State in accordance with state statute.
- Inmate ledger balances are not reconciled to the bank statement.
- The County Sheriff does not have a policy or procedures in place for unclaimed inmate funds.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been designed and implemented regarding the Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account and Sheriff Commissary Fund.

Effect of Condition: These conditions resulted in noncompliance with state statutes. In addition, without proper accounting and safeguarding of the Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account, there is an increased risk of misappropriation of funds.

Recommendation: OSAI recommends the following:

- Establish procedures to separate the key functions of the receipting, depositing, reconciliation and expenditure processes over the Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account.
- All contracts should be renewed and approved by the Board of County Commissioners yearly.
- The County Sheriff should file a report of the Commissary with the Board of County Commissioners by January 15th, of each year in accordance with 19 O.S. § 180.43 D.
- All monies collected should be deposited on a daily basis in accordance with 19 O. S § 682.
- Signature stamps should only be used by the official to whom it belongs. Officials who utilize a signature stamp should ensure that signature stamp is adequately safeguarded from unauthorized use. In addition, signature stamps should be registered with the Oklahoma Office of the Secretary of State in accordance with 62 O.S. § 602.
- Inmate Trust Fund monies should be maintained in a manner that reflects each inmate's trust deposits, disbursements, and account balances. The inmate's ledger balances should be reconciled to the bank statements each month.
- The Sheriff's office should design and implement procedures to address unclaimed Inmate Trust Fund monies in accordance with 22 O.S. § 1325(F, H).

Management Response:

County Sheriff:

- We have established procedures regarding segregation of duties by requiring at least two staff members review and sign off on all deposits, reconciliations, and expenditures.
- We have contacted our commissary and inmate phone card vendors for updated contracts to be obtained and processed.
- Beginning this year, we will prepare and file a report of the commissary with the Board of County Commissioners by January 15, 2023, and each year following.
- We are making more frequent deposits and working towards the goal of daily deposits for all monies collected.
- We are no longer using signature stamps.

- We are in the process of initiating ledgers for inmate trust fund monies that reflect each inmates' deposits, disbursements, and account balances. The Jail Administrator will maintain the daily ledgers. The Administrative Assistant will be responsible for reconciling the ledgers to the bank statements each month. Reconciliations will require at least two staff member signatures when processed.
- We are in the process of designing and implementing procedures to address previous unclaimed inmate trust fund monies.

Criteria: The GAO Standards – Principle 10 – Design Control Activities: 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.

Additionally, Principle 10 - Segregation of Duties states:

- 10.12 Management considers segregation of duties in designing control activity responsibilities so that incompatible duties are segregated and, where such segregation is not practical, designs alternative control activities to address the risk.
- 10.13 Segregation of duties helps prevent fraud, waste, and abuse in the internal control system. Management considers the need to separate control activities related to authority, custody, and accounting of operations to achieve adequate segregation of duties. In particular, segregation of duties can address the risk of management override. Management override circumvents existing control activities and increases fraud risk. Management addresses this risk through segregation of duties, but cannot absolutely prevent it because of the risk of collusion, where two or more employees act together to commit fraud.
- 10.14 If segregation of duties is not practical within an operational process because of limited personnel or other factors, management designs alternative control activities to address the risk of fraud, waste, or abuse in the operational process.
- Title 19 O.S. § 180.43D requires that an annual report of the Sheriff's Commissary be submitted to the Board of County Commissioners by January 15th of each year.
- Title 19 O.S. § 531(A) states in part, "the county sheriff may establish a checking account, to be designated the 'Inmate Trust Fund Checking Account'," and, "The county sheriff shall deposit all monies collected from inmates incarcerated in the county jail into this checking account and may write checks to the Sheriff's Commissary Account for purchases made

by the inmate during his or her incarceration and to the inmate from unencumbered balances due the inmate upon his or her discharge."

Title 19 O.S. § 682 prescribes the procedures for depositing daily.

Title 22 O.S. § 1325(F, H) prescribes the procedures for handling unclaimed property.

Title 62 O.S. § 602 states, "Any authorized officer, after filing with the Secretary of State his manual signature certified by him under oath, may execute or cause to be executed with a facsimile signature in lieu of his manual signature any public security, or any certificate thereon or thereto. Upon compliance with this act by the authorized officer, his facsimile signature has the same legal effect as his manual signature."



